

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE C0106A 10/011,848 12/04/2001 Thomas M. Canty 5216 7590 09/24/2003 JAMES J. RALABATE EXAMINER **5792 MAIN STREET** KIM, ELLEN E WILLIAMSVILLE, NY 14221 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2874

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | _ | | | dr/ |
|---|---|-------------------------|--|-----|
| * · · | | Application N . | Applicant(s) | |
| • | | 10/011,848 | CANTY ET AL. | |
| # · · | Office Action Summary | Examin r | Art Unit | |
| | | Ellen E Kim | 2874 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri df r Reply | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | • | | |
| 2a)[_ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th | is action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5)□ | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | |
| ع)ر | 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received | | |
| | | | lication No. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ | 5) Notice of Info | nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s rmal Patent Application (PTO | |

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 19, and 21-25 have been renumbered 18-23.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2874

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Chiu [USPAT 4,729,070].

Chiu discloses a ring light comprising a mounting member [fig. 2] including a leading end, a trailing end, an outer surface, a passage, a guide hole [see near reference numeral 57]; and a radiation guide 58.

In re claim 5, fig. 6 shows the leading end and the trailing end are parallel to each other.

Claims 1-6, 8-13, 15, 17-20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Walck et al [USPAT 6,450,655].

Walck et al disclose a multi-port illuminating and viewing unit comprising a leading end [horizontal upper part of the device 12, fig. 2], a tailing end [horizontal lower part of the device 12], guide holes 31, radiation guides 37,39, and a viewing unit 40.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 2874

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiu.

Chiu discloses every aspect of claimed invention except for the glass window.

Chiu, however, discloses a ball socket 46. It would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chiu's device to include the glass ball socket for the purpose of higher coupling efficiency of the light transmission.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiu as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Jones [USPAT 5,386,817].

Chiu discloses every aspect of claimed invention except for the spray port to clean the window. Jones discloses an endoscope including the spray port 72 in fig. 2 to clean the window. It would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chiu's device to include the spray port to clean the window for the purpose of better vision of the detected object.

Claims 7, 14, 16, 21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walck et al as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Jones [USPAT 5,386,817].

Walck et al discloses every aspect of claimed invention except for the spray port to clean the window. Jones discloses an endoscope including the spray port 72 in fig. 2 to clean the window. It would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Walck et al's device to include the spray port to clean the window for the purpose of better vision of the detected object.

Art Unit: 2874

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Further references of interest are cited on Form PLO-892, which is attachment to this office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-4946. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday.

Ellen E. Kim

Primary Examiner

September 17, 2003/EK

Page 5